end-of-paragraph markers to the text, thereby producing the word grouping data (Figure 2A:46) which comprises sentence markers 86 and paragraph markers 87. The segmentation and normalisation process 80 is conventional, a fuller description of it can be found in Edgington M et al: 'Overview of current text-to-speech techniques part 1 – 'text and linguistic analysis', BT Technology Journal, Volume 14, No. 1, pp 68-83 (January 1996). The disclosure of that paper (hereinafter referred to as part 1 of the BTTJ article) is hereby incorporated herein by reference.

The computer is then controlled by the program to run a pronunciation and tagging
process 90 which converts the expanded text file 88 to an unresolved phonetic
transcription file 92 and adds tags 93 to words indicating their syntactic
characteristics (or a plurality of possible syntactic characteristics). The process 90
makes use of the lexicon 44 which outputs possible word tags 93 and corresponding
phonetic transcriptions of input words. The phonetic transcription 92 is unresolved
to the extent that some words (e.g. 'live') are pronounced differently when playing
different roles in a sentence. Again, the pronunciation process is conventional - more
details are to be found in part 1 of the BTTJ article.

The program then causes the computer to run a conventional parsing process 94. A 20 more detailed description of the parsing process can be found in part 1 of the BTTJ article.

The parsing process 94 begins with a stochastic tagging procedure which resolves the syntactic characteristic associated with each one of the words for which the pronunciation and tagging process 90 has given a plurality of possible syntactic characteristics. The unresolved word tags data 93 is thereby turned into word tags data 95. Once that has been done, the correct pronunciation of the word is identified to form phonetic transcription data 97. In a conventional manner, the parsing process 94 then assigns syntactic labels 96 to groups of words.

To give an example, if the sentence 'Similarly Britain became popular after a rumour got about that Mrs Thatcher had declared open house.' were to be input to the text-to-speech synthesiser, then the output from the parsing process 94 would be:

- 5 SENTSTART <ADV Similarly\_RR ADV> ,\_, (NR Britain\_NP1 NR) [VG became\_VVD VG] <ADJ popular\_JJ ADJ> [pp after\_ICS (NR a\_AT1 rumour\_NN1 NR) pp] [VG got\_VVD about\_RP VG] that\_CST (NR Mrs\_NNSB1 Thatcher\_NP1 NR) [VG had\_VHD declared\_VVN VG] (NR open\_JJ house\_NNL1 NR) SENTEND .\_.

Word Tag	Definition	
(),:;?	Punctuation	
AT1	singular article: a, every	
CST	that as conjunction	
DA1	singular after-determiner: little, much	
DDQ	'wh-' determiner without '-ever': what, which	
ICS	preposition-conjunction of time: after, before, since	
10	of as preposition	
JJ	general adjective	
NN1	singular common noun: book, girl	
NNL1	singular locative noun: island, Street	
NNS1	singular titular noun: Mrs, President	
NP1	singular proper noun: London, Frederick	
PPH1	it	
RP	prepositional adverb which is also particle	

RR	general adverb .	
RRQ	non-degree 'wh-adverb' without '-ever': where, when, why	
ТО	infinitive marker to	
UH	interjection: hello, no	
VBO	base form be	
VBDR	imperfective indicative were	
VBDZ	was	
VBG	being	
VBM	am,'m	
VBN	been	
VBR	are, 're	
VBZ	is, 's	
VDO	base form do	
VDD	did	
VDG	doing	
VDN	done	
VDZ	does	
VHO	base form have	
VHD	had, 'd (preterite)	
VVD	lexical verb, preterite: ate, requested	
VVG	'-ing' present participle of lexical verb: giving	
VVN	past participle of lexical verb: given	

Table 1

Next, in chunking process 98, the program controls the computer to label 'chunks' in 5 the input sentence. In the present embodiment, the syntactic groups shown in Table 2 below are identified as chunks.

TAG	Description	Example
IVG	Infinite verb group	[IVG to_TO be_VB0 IVG]